## **LCFF Budget Overview for Parents**

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Kings Canyon Online

CDS Code: 10622650116640

School Year: 2024-25 LEA contact information:


Josh Darnell Principal, KCO

darnell-j@kcusd.com

559-305-7320

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

**Budget Overview for the 2024-25 School Year** 




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Kings Canyon Online expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Kings Canyon Online is \$2,594,166.14, of which \$2,475,352 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$72,857 is other state funds, \$8000 is local funds, and \$37957.14 is federal funds. Of the \$2,475,352 in LCFF Funds, \$671,008 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

## **LCFF Budget Overview for Parents**

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Kings Canyon Online plans to spend for 2024-25. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Kings Canyon Online plans to spend \$3,811,521 for the 2024-25 school year. Of that amount, \$2,876,556 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$934,965 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


General fund expenditures that are not included in the LCAP include salaries and benefits for day and evening custodial staff at both the Reedley and Dunlap campuses. In addition, salaries and benefits for district support staff (payroll staff, maintenance staff, and HR) that support KC Online and utilities costs are not written into the LCAP.

### Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2024-25 School Year

In 2024-25, Kings Canyon Online is projecting it will receive \$671,008 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Kings Canyon Online must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Kings Canyon Online plans to spend \$1,495,491 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

## **LCFF Budget Overview for Parents**

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2023-24



This chart compares what Kings Canyon Online budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Kings Canyon Online estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2023-24, Kings Canyon Online's LCAP budgeted \$1,246,743 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Kings Canyon Online actually spent \$430,779 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2023-24.

The difference between the budgeted and actual expenditures of \$-815,964 had the following impact on Kings Canyon Online's ability to increase or improve services for high needs students:

Funds allocated in 2023-2024 that were not expended, will be carried over into the 2024-2025 school year in support of the actions planned in the Local Control and Accountability Plan. Services as planned in the 23-24 LCAP were in fact provided to students utilizing funds secured through federal grants and one time COVID relief funds. The difference in budgeted supplemental and concentration funds and the estimated actual expenditures did not impact actions or services for our low income students, English learners, or foster youth. These actions will be continued in the upcoming school year to increase or improve services for high need students as outlined in the local control and accountability plan, utilizing supplemental and concentrated funding.

## 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update

The instructions for completing the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Annual Update follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Kings Canyon Online		darnell-j@kcusd.com
	Principal, KCO	559-305-7320

## **Goals and Actions**

### Goal

Goal #	Description
1	Goal #1: All students will receive a high quality education in an environment designed to provide them with opportunities to develop their greatest potential.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Percentage of appropriately credentialed, with English Language Certification, and appropriately assigned	2020-2021 - 100%	78% were appropriately credentialed. 22% (2 teachers out of 9) were on STIPs	2022-2023- 89% of teachers were appropriately credentialed (1 teacher on internship credential)	23-24 83.4% Clear  Data Source: CA  Dashboard	95% or more of teachers are appropriately credentialed.
<ul> <li>Percentage of misassigned teachers and vacancies will be less than 1%</li> </ul>	2020-2021 - 0%	0%	2022-2023 - 0% of teacher were misassigned	2023-2024 - 0% of teacher were misassigned  Data Source: California Dashboard	Continue to be below 1%
Percentage of students having access to standards aligned curriculum will maintain	2020-2021 - 100%	100%	2022-2023 - 100% of students have access to standards aligned curriculum.	100% of students have sufficient access to standards-aligned materials.  Data Source: Local Data	Continue to be at 100%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
at 100%					

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

The actions outlined in Goal One supported the progress toward meeting the goal: All students will receive a high quality education in an environment designed to provide them with opportunities to develop their greatest potential.

#### Action 1.1 - Credentialed Teachers

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online and our authorizing school district, KCUSD has established ongoing HR practices, including procedures for hiring and recruitment, leading to qualified staff. KCUSD is always looking to improve recruitment and retainment and utilizes partnerships with local universities, and credentialing programs to recruit the teachers possible.

#### Action 1.2 - Professional Development

Implementation Status:5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online continues to provide opportunities for staff to have to participate in professional development that will improve instructional strategies and share best practices among educators. The professional development at Kings Canyon Online continues to include opportunities that will expand the use of the Google platform for student/teacher interaction and support. This also includes the costs associated with charter school and independent study conference registration an accommodations.

### Action 1.3 - Basic Operating Expenses - substitutes

Implementation Status:5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online continues to provide opportunities for staff to have to participate in professional development that will improve instructional strategies and share best practices among educators. The professional development at Kings Canyon Online continues to include opportunities that will expand the use of the Google platform for student/teacher interaction and support. This also includes the costs associated with charter school and independent study conference registration an accommodations.

#### Action 1.4 - Transportation

Implementation Status: 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online provided basic operating expenses such as salaries/benefits (secretary), general office supplies, and equipment. This also includes maintenance of facilities and transportation for students.

#### Action 1.5 - Indirect Costs

Implementation Status:5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online's English Learners and low income students need additional academic support. The evidence for this is shown in the ELA and Math scores earned on state and local assessments. KCUSD support staff serves KCO students with disabilities, as well as EL, foster youth, and low income students.

#### Action 1.6 - Indirect Costs

Implementation Status:5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Kings Canyon Unified provides general operating support to KC Online such as site staffing, accounts payable, and other operating
costs.

#### Action 1.7 - Routine Restricted Maintenance

Implementation Status:5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online pays costs of routine restricted maintenance (3%) to ensure facilities are kept up to date and support an effective learning environment.

#### Action 1.8 - Additional Administrator Support

Implementation Status:5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• The low-income students at Kings Canyon Online are in need of additional support to aid with attendance, behavior, and academic progress. This is based on local data when low-income students are compared to all students. This additional administrator provided consistent monitoring and support for misbehavior and poor attendance. The additional administrator also provided positive reinforcement for struggling students who are meeting individual and school goals.

#### Action 1.9 - Teachers Providing Additional Support

Implementation Status:5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Implementation Status:5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online provided substitutes when staff is out due to illness or professional development.

Overall Successes: Kings Canyon Online continues to show success in providing qualified staff for all students, but particularly English Learners, low-income, and foster youth. The student population of Kings Canyon Online increased during the 2022-2023 school year, the addition of qualified staff provided consistent monitoring of academic progress, as well as providing additional intervention for struggling students. The additional administrator support provided for by 1.8 allowed Kings Canyon Online to begin monitoring low-income students, as well as other in need of students. This support continues be reflected in increased attendance and improved academic/behavioral performance in

2022-2023.

Overall Challenges: The most challenging aspect of Goal 1 is the provision of professional development for Kings Canyon Online teachers. The authorizing school district and county do provide professional development, however these opportunities do not address all aspects of an online learning platform. Kings Canyon Online did utilize the services of the online curriculum providers to provide professional development that helped our teachers to become more adept at managing the online curriculum and facilitating student experiences on the platform.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Kings Canyon Online Action 1.2 is to provide for professional development for staff. It has been a challenge to find adequate professional development that address the needs of an online platform. There are professional development opportunities available through our online curriculum provider that are included in the initial licensing costs, so by utilizing these opportunities, Kings Canyon Online did not need to use all funds that were budgeted for this action for additional professional development.

Action 1.4 After reflection we determined we overbudgeted for basic operating costs and have reduced the amount for next year.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

Goal 1 has been developed for the purpose of addressing state priorities 1 (Basic Conditions of Learning) and 2 (State Standards-Conditions of Learning). It is a priority of Kings Canyon Unified School District that students have basic services, ensuring that all students are attending school on campuses that are in good condition while being taught by teachers who are appropriately assigned and provided with adequate textbooks, materials, supplies and supports.

Action(s): Action 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6,1.7, 1.8, and 1.9.

Effectiveness of Action(s): Effective

Metric(s): Credentialed Teachers, Professional Development for Teachers, Basic Operating Expenses, Facilities in Good Repair, Additional Administrator Support, Additional Teacher Support.

Analysis Statement: The basic services provided in these actions were effective in supporting the goals outlined in Goal 1. Surveys conducted show that 100% of students have the necessary basic services, campus facilities are in good condition, teachers are appropriately assigned and supported to provide instruction, materials, supplies, academic supports, and intervention supports, and additional administration to aid low-income students with attendance, behavior, and academic progress.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There are no changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

## **Goals and Actions**

### Goal

Goal #	Description
	All student will demonstrate and increase in academic achievement in all core subject areas- English Language Arts (ELA), Math, Science, and Social Science - through the implementation of state standards.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Percentage of students who meet or exceed standards on the Smarter Balanced ELA Assessment	18/19 72% Meets/Exceeds Standard	2020-2021 (CAASPP) All Students: 65% Foster: N/A English Learners: N/A Low Income: 30% Data Source: Data Quest	2021-2022 (CAASPP) All Students:45.0% Foster:N/A English Learners: N/A Low Income: 37.1% Data Source: DataQuest	57.34% All Students 27.27% EL 54% LI FY Data Not Available Data Source: DataQuest 22-23	- 75% or higher
Percentage of students who meet or exceed standards on the Smarter Balanced Math Assessment	18/19 33% Meets/Exceeds Standard	2020-2021 (CAASPP) All Students: 24% Foster: N/A English Learners: N/A Low Income: 20% Data Source: Data Quest	2021-2022 (CAASPP) All Students: 13.75% Foster: N/A English Learners:N/A Low Income: 12.91% Data Source: DataQuest	24% All Students 0% EL 20% LI FY Data Not Available Data Source: DataQuest 22-23	- 35% or higher
Adjusted Metric: Percentage of students considered college and career ready will be maintained at 100%.	18/19 33%	20-21 There was no college career readiness data on the dashboard in 20-21.	There is no college career readiness data reported for 21-22.	31.3% All Students No Data-EL 29% LI FY Data Not Available Data Source: CA Dashboard 22-23	- 45% or higher

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

The actions outlined in Goal One supported the progress toward meeting the goal:

Action 2.1- Instruction/Curriculum - Online Provider

Implementation Status: 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online provided students with an online curriculum platform that provides all core subjects:Math, Science, English Language Arts, and Social Science, as well as required electives.

Action 2.2 - Additional Materials and Supplies

Implementation Status: 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online provided students with all materials and supplies to ensure they have materials/supplies necessary to succeed in the online educational platform.

Action 2.3 - Technology

Implementation Status: 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Feedback from educational partners have revealed that low-income students do not always have reliable access to internet and other technological needs. Kings Canyon Online was able to continue to supply chromebooks, hot spots, listening devices, and other technological items as needed. This helped to ensure that our low-income students had access to technology in the classroom and at home.

Action 2.4 - Academic Recognition and Reinforcement

Implementation Status: 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online students who are English Learners and low-income have shared through surveys and parent/teacher conversations that celebrating their academic achievements provides them with the motivation to continue to strive in their academic coursework. Many students have struggled academically before coming to Kings Canyon Online, so the recognition for their hard work keeps the motivated to succeed. This action was fulfilled through award assemblies, certificates, special field trips, and points to spend in the school store. The action also included award ceremonies for each semester's achievements. While this action was to motivate English Learners and low-income, it was implemented school wide to benefit all Kings Canyon Online students.

Action 2.5 - Credit Recovery/Intervention

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• The state data and parent/student surveys have shown that credit recovery can improve the graduation rate for English Learners, low-income, and foster youth students. Kings Canyon Online's plan is to provide a variety of credit recovery coursework that will allow students to make up any coursework that will help them with meeting graduation requirements. While this action was focused on English learners, low-income and foster youth students, it also benefited all students striving to meet graduation requirements.

Therefore, credit recovery/intervention was implemented school wide. We plan to see an increase in graduation rate for all Kings Canyon Online students at the end of this year.

Action 2.6 - Extended Day Support

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Engagement partners have told us through surveys, parent conferences, and conversations, that by providing extended day support outside of the normal school hours, there will be an increase in attendance and academic achievement. This type of intervention will be more focused on the exact areas of struggle experienced by our English learners, low-income, and foster youth students. Kings Canyon Online plans to continue to provide supplemental tutoring and intervention opportunities to these unduplicated students. However, this type of intervention will help all students who are struggling academically, so it will be implemented on a school-wide basis. The result should be improved academic performance seen in an increase in test scores and graduation rates. Action 2.7 - Library

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online engagement partners have indicated that English learners, low-income, and foster youth students do not have full access to reading material and technology. This type of resource gap will hinder a student's potential and progress toward academic success. This type of gap can also be extremely harmful to English Learners, low-income, and foster youth students. This action provided additional access to reading materials to students who don't have access at home. The action provided students with additional reading exposure to broaden their perspectives.

Action 2.8 - Indirect Costs- Academic Program Support Staff

Implementation Status: 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online English learners and low-income students have shown that they are in need of additional academic support. This is seen by the ELA and Math scores on state and local assessments. This action provided KCO with program support staff to assist with curriculum planning, instructional strategies, and progress monitoring.

Action 2.9 Online Curriculum Licensing

Implementation Status: 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online have learned in feedback from our engagement partners that our English learners, low-income, and foster youth students were in need of information, guidance, and instruction in career opportunities. We met this goal through providing additional access to CTE courses. Kings Canyon Online provided CTE courses through our online curriculum provider, Edgenuity. This action was designed to meet the needs most associated with English learners, low-income, and foster youth. However, because we expected that all students would benefit from being exposed to CTE opportunities, this action was provided on an school wide basis.

Overall Successes - Kings Canyon Online continues to show success in helping students to achieve academic success in core standards. This is done primarily through the online curriculum provider and the motivation of the staff to provide support and intervention when needed for our English learners, low-income and foster youth students, but also all Kings Canyon Online students who are struggling academically. The staff at Kings Canyon Online have found themselves as motivated as the students in the increased implementation of an academic award recognition system. They have also seen the recognition of students, challenge and motivate other students to achieve for themselves in the following semesters. We look forward to seeing more students achieve academically in the 2024-2025 school year.

Overall Challenges - The two most challenging aspects of Goal 2 are the fulfillment of technology and library resources. Technology changes

quickly in our current world, so Kings Canyon Online will continue to strive toward having all of our students receiving access to current technology that will help them achieve academically as they work toward graduation requirements. The other challenge is ensuring that all Kings Canyon Online students, particularly our English learners, low-income, and foster youth students have access to reading material that will close the academic gap. These actions, once improved, should show an improvement in ELA and Math scores for our Kings Canyon Online students.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 2.3 is to provide Technology for students. The Estimated Actual Expenditures were less than Budgeted, but there are plans to update both campuses technological needs in the upcoming school year.

Action 2.5, 2.6, and 2.9 for Credit Recovery coursework and extended day support also had Estimated Actual Expenditures less than Budgeted Expenditures. There was not a large

need for Credit Recovery coursework due to the increased intervention provided by the staff.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

Goal 2 will help all student to demonstrate and increase in academic achievement in all core subject areas- English Language Arts (ELA), Math, Science, and Social Science - through the implementation of state standards.

Action(s): Action 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9

Effectiveness of Action(s): Effective

Metric(s): Instruction/Curriculum - Online Provider, Additional Materials and Supplies, Technology, Academic Recognition and Reinforcement, Credit Recovery/Intervention, Extended Day Support, Library, Indirect Costs- Academic Program Support Staff, Online Curriculum Licensing.

Analysis Statement: The basic services provided in these actions were effective in supporting the goals outlined in Goal 2. Students at Kings Canyon Online were able to demonstrate an increase in academic success as shown during the academic award assemblies Kings Canyon Online learned in a survey that 90% of the students in 9th -12th felt that their school was providing them a good education. Kings Canyon Online is planning to invest in new technology in the upcoming school year of 2024-2025, as many items need to be updated to meet current demands. However 98% of the students agreed that the school provided Chromebooks and technology that helped them learn. Credit Recovery was not needed for as many students as originally planned. This is due to the increase in intervention provided by the teachers at

Kings Canyon Online. Currently the library is not able to be fully accessed by all Kings Canyon Online. There are plans to create a catalog that will allow students at both campuses to request books and increase the amount of recreational reading completed by Kings Canyon Online.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There are no changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

## **Goals and Actions**

### Goal

Goal #	Description
3	All English learners will demonstrate progress towards attaining English language proficiency and increase the percentage of attaining proficiency in ELA and Math.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Percentage of English Learner students who score proficient (level 4) on the English Language Proficiency for Summative ELPAC.	This is a new goal. Baseline data will be collected in 22-23.	This is a new goal. Baseline data will be collected in 22-23.	2022-2023 data is not yet available.	16.50%  Data Source: DataQuest 2022-2023	
Percentage of EL students that meet or exceed standards on the ELA CAASPP.	This is a new goal. Baseline data will be collected in 22-23.	This is a new goal. Baseline data will be collected in 22-23.	2022-2023 data is not yet available.	27.27 %  Data Source: DataQuest 2022-2023	
Percentage of EL students that meet or exceed standards on the Math CAASPP.	This is a new goal. Baseline data will be collected in 22-23.	This is a new goal. Baseline data will be collected in 22-23.	2022-2023 data is not yet available.	0%  Data Source:  DataQuest 2022-2023	

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

The actions outlined in Goal Three supported the progress toward meeting the goal: All English learners will demonstrate progress towards attaining English language proficiency and increase the percentage of attaining proficiency in ELA and Math.

#### Action 3.1 - Teacher Salaries

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online's English Learners continue to need additional academic support. This has been determined by the metric section on state and local English Language Arts and Math assessments. This action benefited all Kings Canyon Online students who are struggling academically, so it will be provided for all Kings Canyon Online students. This action ensured that all teachers at Kings Canyon Online were qualified and expected and able to support all students, especially English Learners with ELD standards and research-based EL strategies to increase learning.

#### Action 3.2 - Extended Day Support

Implementation Status: 4 - Full Implementation

• Kings Canyon Online's English Learners continue to need additional academic support. This has been determined by the metric section on state and local English Language Arts and Math assessments. Extended day supplemental opportunities were provided to increase not only language acquisition, but also student achievement in the core subjects of English and Math. This supplemental time provided an individualized opportunity for reinforcement and support of academic language acquisition through speaking and listening activities.

### Action 3.3 - Supplemental Tools

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online's English Learners continue to need additional academic support. This has been determined by the metric section on state and local English Language Arts and Math assessments. This action provided a language acquisition software to support English Language Learners to reach language proficiency.

#### Action 3.4 - ELD Teacher

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online's English Learners continue to need additional academic support. This has been determined by the metric section on state and local English Language Arts and Math assessments. This action provided a teacher designated to assist El students in attaining proficiency.

Overall Successes: Kings Canyon Online continued to provide for an ELD teacher to guide and tailor instruction to provide optimum support

for English Learners. The utilization of language acquisition software allowed the ELD teacher to provide this level of individualized instruction for the English Learners. This resulted in students attaining RFEP status during the 2023-2024 school year.

Overall Challenges: Kings Canyon Online continues to work to close the gap in language acquisition and student achievement in the core subjects, particularly English and Math, by searching for extended day supplemental opportunities.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Kings Canyon Online budgeted to spend \$2500 on additional software for language acquisition, and extended day support tor EL students but did not need to use these funds. This

was due to our connection with our authorizing district, allowing us to use language acquisition software purchased for English Language Learners throughout the district as well as utilizing other funds to pay teachers for extended day work.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

Goal 3 has been developed for the purpose of addressing the needs of English Language Learners.

Action(s): Action 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4

Effectiveness of Action(s): Effective

Metric(s): Teacher Salaries, Extended Day Support, and Supplemental Tools.

Analysis Statement: The basic services provided in these actions were effective in supporting the goals outlined in Goal 3. Surveys conducted revealed that 92% of the students felt that English Language Learners felt supported by teachers and curriculum, including the language acquisition software. This is also shown by students attaining RFEP. While 100% of 9th -12th graders are aware that the teachers are available for them outside of regular school hours/days, Action 3.2 - Extended day support is at an Implementation Status of 4 - Full Implementation, since we are still encouraging students to use this time more regularly.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There are no changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

## **Goals and Actions**

### Goal

Goal #	Description
4	All students will be provided a broad spectrum of educational and co-curricular activities so that they may reach their highest potential and their college/career goals.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Cohort Graduation Rate	19/20 90%	2020-2021 All Students: 94% Foster: N/A English Learners: 100% Low Income: 93.1% Special Education: NA Data Source: Data Quest	2021-2022 All Students: 93.2% Foster: NA English Learners: NA Low Income: 94.7% Special Education: NA  Data Source: DataQuest	All-81.3% LI 80.6% EL-No Data Available FY- No Data Available Data Source- CA Dashboard 22-23	Above 90%
Increase the percentage of graduates completing A-G requirements.	19/20 0%	2020-2021 All Students: 0% Foster: N/A English Learners: 0% Low Income: 0% Special Education: 0% Data Source: EdData.org	2021-2022 All Students: 0% Foster: N/A English Learners: NA Low Income: 0% Special Education: NA Data Source: EdData.org	All - 0% EL-No Data Available LI - 0% FY-No Data Available Data Source- Dataquest	Above 15%
CTE Participation Rate	19/20 2%	2021-2022 All Students: 5% Foster: N/A	2021-2022 All Students: 0% Foster: N/A	All - 16% EL-No Data Available LI - 16.1%	10% or higher

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
		English Learners: 0% Low Income: 3% Data Source: Local Data	English Learners: 0% Low Income: 0% Data Source: CALPADS	FY-No Data Available Data Source- Local Data 22-23	
High School Dropout Rate	19/20 0%	20-21 SY 4% (1/22 students) All Students: 3.45% Foster: N/A English Learners: 0% Low Income: 3.45% Data Source: Data Quest	2021-2022 All Students: 0% Foster: N/A English Learners: NA Low Income: 0% Data Source: DataQuest	All - 6% EL-No Data Available LI - 6% FY-No Data Available Data Source- CA Dashboard 22-23	below state average

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

The actions outlined in Goal Four supported the progress toward meeting the goal: All students will be provided a broad spectrum of educational and co-curricular activities so that they may reach their highest potential and their college/career goals.

### Action 4.1 - College Readiness-Counseling

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• This action provided academic support and guidance for low-income and foster youth students who need additional support for meeting graduation requirements and assistance in developing a plan for post high school opportunities. This action addressed the fact that Kings Canyon Online's low-income and foster youth graduation rates, in addition to state and local achievement data are lower than the group of all Kings Canyon Online students. This action supported not only low-income and foster youth, but all students who are struggling academically or trying to navigate post high school opportunities.

# Action 4.2 - College Readiness - College/Career Study Trips Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online provided students with educational study/college trips for college and career exposure. This addressed the feedback in surveys from parents and students in the annual parent survey, student survey, school site council meetings, and parent conferences requesting an opportunity for low-income and foster youth to visit colleges, museums, and participate in educational opportunities outside of the typical school day. We expected that this action would benefit all Kings Canyon Online students, so the action will be implemented school wide.

#### Action 4.3 - Summer School

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online local data results point to an extended school year helping to decrease summer learning loss, provide support in closing achievement gaps, and improve the graduation rate for English learners, low-income, and foster youth students. Kings Canyon Online provided extended learning through summer school for opportunities in credit recovery and enrichment. These opportunities were provided through online coursework. This action targeted the needs of English Learners, low-income, and foster youth. However, because we expected that all students would benefit from credit recovery and enrichment, it was implemented school wide.

### Action 4.4 Summer School Curriculum Licensing

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online paid for summer school curriculum licensing to support credit recovery and/or enrichment opportunities.

### Action 4.5 Extra/Co-Curricular Opportunities

Implementation Status: 4 - Full Implementation

• English Learners, low-income, and foster youth students who are involved in extra co-curricular activities have a better connection to school and show an increased motivation to perform. This has been shown in local data and feedback from parents and students in the annual parent survey, student survey, school site council meetings, and parent conferences. This action is to provide materials, supplies, and services with the aim of expanding after school clubs. This action provided a chance for students to form connections with fellow Kings Canyon Online students and be able to participate in hands on engagement.

### Action 4.6 - Additional In Person Instructional Support

Implementation Status: 5 - Beginning

Kings Canyon Online's English Learners, low-income, and foster youth students are often in need of a place to quietly and safely
work even though they are attending an online school. This also allows for the opportunity for students to build better relationships
and connections to school. We have budgeted for additional facilities and construction has begun, however we have not actually
sent any of the funds yet.

Overall Successes: Kings Canyon Online was able to provide an academic counselor that provided support for low-income and foster youth students, in addition any students who could benefit. This allowed students to finalize post high school opportunities. The 2023-2024 school year provided increased opportunities for students to engage in college and educational study trips. These opportunities have been limited

since the pandemic period, so the increase in trips was appreciated. This appreciation was reflected in feedback received from parents and students. Summer school continues to be an opportunity that student utilize to engage in credit recovery or enrichment courses. This is made possible by extending the school year and providing for summer school curriculum licensing. Kings Canyon Online began to explore more opportunities for students to engage with fellow students by holding in-person science labs and enrichment opportunities.

Overall Challenges: Kings Canyon Online needs to continue to find extra/co-curricular activities that are intriguing and available for our student population. Students have expressed in local feedback meetings and surveys that although they appreciate engaging in on-line curriculum, they welcome more opportunities to engage with fellow students.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 4.2 After School College Readiness & Action 4.4 Extra/Cocurricular materials: Both actions were fully implemented however student recruitment was lacking so the funds were spent at the rate we had projected. In addition, other funds came available to cover the costs for these actions. We are working to recruit more students this year and expand the after school enrichment and extra/cocurricular participation Action 4.6 Planning and construction are in progress however funds were not expended this year.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

Goal 4 has been developed for the purpose of providing a broad spectrum of educational and co-curricular activities so that they may reach their highest potential and their college/career goals.

Action(s): Action 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, and 4.6

Effectiveness of Action(s): Effective

Metric(s): College Readiness - Counseling, College/Career Study Trips, Summer School, Summer School Curriculum Licensing, and Extra/Co-Curricular Opportunities.

Analysis Statement: The basic services provided in these actions were effective in supporting the goals outlined in Goal 4. Surveys conducted revealed that 100% students were able to learn, reflect, and decide on post high school opportunities through the efforts of our academic counselor and the multiple opportunities for college and educational study trips. Summer school was able to provide students with the chance of working toward post high school opportunities. Lastly, extra/co-curricular activities were appreciated by students and parents as expressed in local data and feedback from surveys, school site council meetings, and parent conferences.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There are no changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

## **Goals and Actions**

## Goal

Goal #	Description
	All students will be provided with a safe and nurturing learning environment that supports their social, emotional, and academic success.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Percentage of students suspended will continue to be	2019-2020 - 0% of student suspended	2020-2021- 0% of students suspended	2021-2022- 0% of students suspended	All - 0% Ll - 0% EL 0%	The percentage of students expelled will continue to be below
lower that the state average.				FY- 0% Data Source: CA Dashboard 2022-2023	the state average.
Percentage of expelled students will continue to be lower than the state average.	2019-2020 - 0% of students expelled	2020-2021- 0% of students expelled	2021-2022- 0% of students expelled	All - 0% LI - 0% EL 0% FY- 0% Data Source: CA Dashboard 2022-2023	The percentage of students expelled will continue to be below the state average.
Student attendance rate will be 95% or higher.	19/20- 95%	2020-2021 - 95%	2021-2022- 100%	All - 88% LI - No Data EL- No Data FY- No Data Data Source: CA Dashboard 2022-2023	The school attendance rate will continue to be at 95% or higher.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Chronic absenteeism rates will be below 20% for all students,including significant subgroups.	19/20 19.4%	2020-2021 All Students: 17.3% Foster: 28.6% English Learners: 0% Low Income: 28.6% Ed Data	2021-2022 All Students: 0% Foster: NA English Learners: 0% Low Income: 0% Ed Data	All - 11.4% LI - 18.2% EL- 9.1 FY- Data Source: CA Dashboard 2022-2023	The chronic absenteeism rate will be below 10%.
All facilities will meet Williams Act requirements with a "Good" standing on the overall summary of facility conditions evaluations, as reflected on the School Accountability Report Cards (SARC).	19/20 100%	2020-2021 100% of facilities met "good" overall standing.	2021-2022 100% of facilities met "good" overall standing.	2022-2023 100% of facilities met "good" overall standing. Data Source: Local Data	The overall summary of facility conditions will continue to have a "good" standing on the annual SARC report.
Percentage of students feeling safe at school will maintain at 95%, as reported on the Student Survey.	19/20 97%	2020-2021 97.2% of students feel safe at school.	2021-2022 97.6% of students feel safe at school.	98.2% 9-12 94.6% K-8 Data Source: Local Data	Students feeling safe at school should be maintained at 95% or higher as measured on the annual student survey.

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full

Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

The actions outlined in Goal Five has been developed to provide ways of providing students with a safe and nurturing learning environment that will support their social, emotional, and academic success.

Action 5.1 - Campus Safety - Custodial Supplies

Implementation Status: 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

• This action provided a clean and safe campus for when students are in attendance. Kings Canyon Online provided cleaning supplies and services for classrooms, labs, bathrooms, and outdoor areas.

Action 5.2 - Positive Climate - PBIS

Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• It is the goal of Kings Canyon Online to provide students with a proactive approach that is not only positive, but addresses the needs and outcomes of an online learning program. This action included addressing attendance, discipline, and academic achievement. Students were presented with awards and rewards through weekly and monthly successes with supervising teachers. Incentive trips were also offered to encourage further academic achievement from our low income students, as well as other students in our LEA who will benefit from a positive climate and recognition system. This system has been in use for several years and continues to show success among not only low income students, but all students associated with Kings Canyon Online.

Overall Successes: Kings Canyon Online continues to work with our authorized district to support a clean and safe environment which allows students the opportunity to attain social, emotional, and academic achievement. Action 5.2 provides for the students of Kings Canyon Online to be recognized in a manner that is unique. An online learning environment can be difficult for staff to rate progress socially, emotionally, and academically. However, the staff at Kings Canyon Online have created a system that not only supports this progress, but allows students to set goals and work toward achieving them.

Overall Challenges: The challenges for the 2023-2024 school year were continuing to provide incentive trips that would encourage each student in the

social, emotional, and academic goals set by themselves and the staff. This was due to a post-pandemic climate and the struggle to keep students connected to school. Students and parents have

now expressed that they are eager for incentive trips to take place, so that Kings Canyon Online students will have multiple chances to participate.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Opportunities for incentive positive climate trips have been hindered due to post pandemic situations and the continued struggle to keep students connected to school. As a result, there was a material

difference between budgeted and actual expenditures. Student and parent feedback have provided new suggestions for our low income students to benefit from a positive educational trip that will encourage further social, emotional, and academic success. Kings Canyon Online

is looking forward to fully utilizing the budget expenditures in the upcoming 2024-2025 school year. Also there were enough custodial supplies left from last year to make it throught he school year without having to purchase additional materials.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

Goal 5 has been developed to provide students with a safe and nurturing learning environment that supports their social, emotional, and academic success.

Action(s): Action 5.1 and 5.2.

Effectiveness of Action(s): Effective

Metric(s): Campus Safety - Custodial Supplies and Positive Climate - PBIS.

Analysis Statement: The services provided in these actions were effective in supporting the goals outlined in Goal 5. Feedback from educational partners provided statements that demonstrated appreciation for the care and maintenance of the Kings Canyon Online facilities. Surveying students showed that 98.2% felt safe at Kings Canyon Online.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

KCO added a specific goal around improving attendance(Action 5.3). This was previously embedded into the previous action, which included PBIS. We felt it important to address it specifically rather than within another action.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

### Goals and Actions

### Goal

Goal #	Description
6	All students will have the opportunity to increase academic achievement through the engagement of parents and families.

### Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Parent Survey Return Rate	19-20 60%	20-21 - 39%	21-22 - 35%	41% Surveys Returned  Data Source: Local Data 23-24	50% or above
More than 10 opportunities will be offered to parents for parental involvement, as defined by a two-way, meaningful communication	19-20 100%	20-21 100% of parents had 10 or more opportunities to get involved at school.	21-22 100% of parents had 10 or more opportunities to get involved at school.	100%  Data Source: Local  Data 23-24	Continue to ensure that we offer at least 10 opportunities for parent involvement.

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full

Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

The actions outlined in Goal Six supports that all students will have the opportunity to increase academic achievement through the engagement of parents and families.

Action 6.1 - Parent/Teacher Conferences and Communication Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Kings Canyon Online continued to implement parent/teacher/student conferences prior to each student being enrolled. These conferences included the following members of the Kings Canyon Online team: Supervising Teacher, Academic Counselor, Administrative Assistant for Registration, Social Worker, and a Kings Canyon Online Administrator. Parents and students have the opportunity to ask any questions and needed and to meet the different resources available to them while enrolled at Kings Canyon Online. Parents of English Learners, low-income, and foster youth students have requested more ways of connecting with supervising teachers and staying appraised of their students progress. Kings Canyon Online utilized the Parent Square platform that is overseen by our authorizing district, KCUSD. Supervising teachers of Kings Canyon Online also provided regularly updated progress trackers of each student's academic progress. The online curriculum provider also provided a service of emailing each parent weekly progress, so that they remained updated. This action also included materials, supplies, refreshments, and translation services at our parent involvement activities.

Action 6.2 - Parent Involvement in Student Recognition/Celebration Implementation Status: 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

• Feedback from parent and student surveys, school site councils, and parent/teacher communications reflected that Kings Canyon Online students come from other sites with challenging educational experiences. This is seen most in English Learners, low-income, and foster youth, but also includes the general population of students at Kings Canyon Online. KCO student academic successes were celebrated throughout the year for all students, improving parent involvement in non-intimidating school activities.

# Action 6.3 - Parent Advisory and Participation Opportunities Implementation Status: 4 – Full Implementation

• Kings Canyon Online included parents in many opportunities throughout the school year such as parent/teacher conferences, orientation, student progress meetings, school site council and academic awards celebrations.

#### Action 6.4 - Advertisement and Promotion

Implementation Status: 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability

Kings Canyon Online provided visual banners and marquee announcements in the local community. This helped to relay information about our program to students, parents, and the community.

Overall Successes - Kings Canyon Online has worked hard this past year to improve not only how we recognize student achievement, but ensure that their parents and families are a part of this recognition. This year saw a continuation of award ceremonies for academic achievement, but also providing Supervising Teachers a chance to recognize students who work hard to improve or overcome difficult situations, while still striving to do their best in their academic subjects. These have proven to be successful by an increase in student work completion and a reduction in attendance issues. Students have enjoyed being given the opportunity to share this academic success with

their parents at our academic celebrations. Students are also happy to receive a certificate and be recognized for their achievement.

Overall Challenges - The challenge at Kings Canyon Online is to continue to find ways that welcome and encourage our parents to visit our campuses and be a part of our activities. The goal is to continue to find ways that invite parents to visit and interact with not only the staff, but other parents of Kings Canyon Online as we work together to support our students.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

We did not spend the budgeted amount for action 6.2. Other funds became available to use to recognize and celebrate student success so these funds were not spent.

Action 6.4 was fully implemented, however with the addition of marquees at all of the KCUSD schools along with various community events, much of the advertising and promotion have come at no cost. We will be looking into other types of advertising next year.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The LEA used the following rating scale to determine the LEA's progress in implementing the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Ratings were based on an analysis of both inputs from educational partners and metrics.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation and Sustainability.

Goal 6 has been developed for the purpose of providing all students will have the opportunity to increase academic achievement through the engagement of parents and families.

Action(s): Action 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4.

Effectiveness of Action(s): Effective

Metric(s): Parent/Teacher Conferences and Communication, Parent Involvement in Student Recognition/Celebration, Parent Advisory and Participation Opportunities, and Advertisement and Promotion.

Analysis Statement: The basic services provided in these actions were effective in supporting the goals outlined in Goal 6. Kings Canyon Online has continued to find ways to involve parents in the online curriculum process. At this time 100% of the parents have the opportunity to be involved in 10 or more school events. One was is through parent/teacher conference at enrollment time for every Kings Canyon Online. This conference includes the student, parent, supervising teacher, social worker, academic counselor, and administrator. This ensures that every family is provided with the right training to have a successful start with Kings Canyon Online. It is also an opportunity to introduce parents and students to the variety of resources that are available to help students with their socio-emotional and academic successes. This process has developed into an opportunity to share the success made each semester in a student recognition/celebration. We continue to look for ways to expand parent participation. The current advertisement and promotion services at Kings Canyon Online have helped to introduce our program to the communication and provide further understanding to current students and parents.

escription of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted ctions on prior practice.	from
re are no changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year.	

Table.

### Instructions

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at <a href="mailto:lcff@cde.ca.gov">lcff@cde.ca.gov</a>.

Complete the prompts as instructed for each goal included in the 2023–24 LCAP. Duplicate the tables as needed. The 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update must be included with the 2024–25 LCAP.

### **Goals and Actions**

### Goal(s)

### **Description:**

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

### **Measuring and Reporting Results**

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Metric:

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Baseline:

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Year 1 Outcome:

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Year 2 Outcome:

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Year 3 Outcome:

• When completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

#### Desired Outcome for 2023-24:

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Timeline for completing the "Measuring and Reporting Results" part of the Goal.

					Desired Outcome
Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	for Year 3
					(2023–24)
Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Enter information in this box when completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

### **Goal Analysis**

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

• Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

• Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle. "Effectiveness" means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the desired result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not produce any significant or desired result.
  - o In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

California Department of Education November 2023

## **Local Control and Accountability Plan**

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Kings Canyon Online	Josh Darnell Principal, KCO	darnell-j@kcusd.com 559-305-7320

## **Plan Summary [2024-25]**

### **General Information**

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

The main campus of Kings Canyon Online opened in 2008 under the school name Dunlap Leadership Academy. KC Online's main office is located in the foothills of Eastern Fresno County, close to Sequoia National Forest. It is a K-12 online program serving students from all over the district. Kings Canyon Unified School District is a K-12 public school district that serves students from a 600 square mile area, one of the largest geographic area districts in California. Diverse in geography and culture, KCUSD serves the cities of Reedley, Orange Cove and the foothill and mountain communities of Navelencia, Squaw Valley, Dunlap and Miramonte. The district employs approximately 1,500 staff members and has a student population just over 10,000. KCUSD also offers a robust selection of programs that include adult education, alternative education options such as independent study and continuation, vocational courses, special education, and an online school (Kings Canyon Online) that meet the broad spectrum of students' needs represented in our community. Students enjoy stimulating and innovative Common-Core aligned curricula which effectively targets student needs and interests. KCUSD's student population is reflective of the demographic makeup of Fresno County as a whole.

As a charter school, KC Online draws students from Fresno County and the seven contiguous surrounding counties, with the majority of students coming from Kings Canyon Unified School District. In addition to the Dunlap location, the school also has a resource center in the city of Reedley, which is the largest city in KCUSD. The school originally opened as a high school with six students and graduated its first class of seven students a year later in the spring of 2010. Currently, the school now has an average of 150 students in grades Kindergarten through 12th grade, with the majority of our students in grades 9th through 12th. Our student demographics at Kings Canyon Online consist of 66% Hispanic/Latino, 24% White, 2% Asian, and 4% two or more races, 1% African American, 1% Filipino, and 2% American Indian. The percentage of unduplicated students attending KC Online is 85.1%.

Kings Canyon Online mostly serves students that are looking for a more flexible educational option due to family circumstances, mental health needs, schedule conflicts, and medical concerns. As a result of the number of high needs students that we serve, Kings Canyon Online is qualified as a DASS school (Dashboard Accountability School Status).

### **Reflections: Annual Performance**

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

KC Online successes can be noted below:

Our KC Online graduation numbers have increased significantly in the past few years. The recent school numbers have increased in response to offering an online option for families wishing to work independently at home. This change has increased the number of students in the KC Online graduating class. In 2023, KC Online had 30 graduates. This year, we have 43 students graduating in the class of 2024. This group will include 3 juniors, who due to the opportunity of working independently, are graduating a year early.

We have had very low suspension rates in recent years including no students suspended in the 22-23 school year. This is largely due to the proactive approach our team takes with explicitly outlining expectations for our resource centers and explicitly teaching those expectations.

We also have low chronic absenteeism rate in recent years (11.4%). This is due to the dedicated staff who work with each student who may struggle with independent, online learning to find strategies that encourage and increase work completion for each student. We continue to work in a 3 tier system to ensure that each student will receive the help they need for academic and socio-emotional needs.

Through observation and other local data, we have also identified the following areas to be of strength:

Leadership, teachers, and staff have developed to become sensitive to the socio-emotional needs of our unique student population and work both as a team and individually to address all students' SEL needs. Local student data showed that 95.2% provide students with support for their socio-emotional needs and with classwork.

KC Online continue to provide students in the Reedley/Orange Cove/Dinuba/Mountain areas with a safe, clean, and learning-optimized environment. These areas provide state-of-the-art technological resources to meet our students' needs in an online learning platform. Student data revealed that 99% are provided with all of the resources needed to be successful in school.

Our PBIS rewards system continues to expand and improve to provide students with incentives and rewards for positive behavior and academic performance. Students in a local survey responded that 92.5% of students participate in co-curricular activities that are fun help students enjoy school.

KC Online continues to improve student recognition for students in Kindergarten through 12th grade. Award ceremonies are offered each fall and spring to recognize students who have excelled in number of classes finished and maintain a high GPA. These ceremonies also offer a chance for our educational partners to visit our sites.

In 2021- 2022 school year, KC Online began to employ a full time English Language Development teacher to meet the needs of our ELD students. This resulted in 58.8% of our students becoming Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) during the 2022-20223 school year. These students are now considered proficient in English.

The care and concern of our KC Online teachers is a strength as evidenced by parent comments and feedback on the annual parent survey.

#### **Identified Needs**

Our ELA and Math performance scores on the CAASPP assessment did reflect an increase this year. We have seen an increase in the number of students attending KCO which provides KC Online the opportunity to ensure that students are placed in best location to fit their educational needs. Some students were returned to traditional sites as part of their intervention. Other students were provided ELA and Math intervention. Our performance on the CAASPP continues to be an area of focus for KC Online. We continue to look for interventional strategies that fit each of our struggling students. As we continue to improve our interventional strategies, this support system will result in improved performances. The transition of students at KC Online remains a fluid system, as we receive new students who may benefit from our educational program, but also returning students who need the consistency of a traditional setting. This type of transient flow does provide an additional struggle in the areas of student achievement, attendance, formative assessments, and social emotional struggles.

The college career readiness indicator on the state dashboard has been very low over the past few years. One of our goals at KCO is to better promote and connect KCO students with CTE opportunities while with our program. The reality is that, while some do, many of our students do not have aspirations of attending a 4-year university. Many are looking to graduate and find work or attend technical training programs or community college after high school. The better job we do at connecting them with CTE opportunities in high school, the more experience they will have going into post secondary programs. In turn, increased CTE participation will improve our rating on the College and Career Readiness indicator on the CA School Dashboard. KCO is also struggling with the limited availability for particular courses, but take this opportunity to share courses that the students may not have considered.

The KCO team has identified and agreed upon the need for a stronger formative assessment system to use throughout the school year for ELA and Math in particular. We must have a way to monitor student achievement, specific to Common Core State Standards, along the journey, rather than waiting for summative data at the end of the year. Our students in grades kindergarten through 5th, were given a formative assessment three times in the 23/24 school year to ensure that their online curriculum is keeping our students on track with KCUSD students in traditional settings. The plan is to expand on this type of formative assessment for our 6th through 12th grade students in ELA and Math.

KC Online started the year with a parent/student/teacher orientation. This allowed every student to have the material and information needed to immediately start on the first day of school. It also ensured that the KC Online parents were aware of expectations, goals, and how we would celebrate achievements throughout the year. We have continued to have "meet and greet" opportunities for all new students and parents, so they are provided with all of the information needed to be successful from the start. Parents have also had the opportunity to participate in educational experiences on the campus and during field trips. We plan to continue to explore ways to increase parent buy-in to the school culture in order to provide students with an integral support system that invigorates student achievement.

Reflections: Technical Assistance										
	^	ictono	coi	1 A	ioal	Tash	onci	aatic	$\sim$ fl	

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Not Applicable

# **Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

#### Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Not Applicable

### Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Not Applicable

### Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Not Applicable

# **Engaging Educational Partners**

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
District Advisory Committee (Parent Advisory Committee)	KC Online parents and staff participate in KCUSD's well establish DAC committee (PAC), comprising of parent representatives from each district school site. The district LCAP, including the goals, actions as well as the process for LCAP development, was shared with DAC on the following dates:  October 23, 2023, January 29, 2024, March 4, 2024 and May 16, 2024  Parents had the opportunity to learn about the KCO LCAP as well as engage in activities to provide input on actions. There were no
	questions from the DAC requiring a response from the superintendent.
District English Language Advisory Committee (Parents of English Learners)	KC Online parents participate in KCUSD's well established DELAC committee, comprising of parents of English learner students from each district school site. The district LCAP, including the goals, actions as well as the process for LCAP development, was shared with DELAC on the following dates:
	October 23, 2023, January 29, 2024, March 4, 2024 and May 16, 2024
	Parents had the opportunity to learn about the KCO LCAP as well as engage in activities to provide input on actions to improve the

	performance of our EL students. There were no questions from the DELAC requiring a response from the superintendent.
Kings Canyon Teachers Association	KCO leadership met with representatives of the Kings Canyon Teachers Association (certificated bargaining unit-KCTA) on February 29, 2024. An overview of district and charter LCAP goals and LCFF funding was provided. This meeting provided an opportunity for KCTA input on the development of the 2024-2027 district LCAP and as well as charter LCAPs.
California School Employees Association	KCO leadership met with representatives of the KCUSD Classified School Employees Association (classified bargaining unit- CSEA) on February 28, 2024. An overview of district and charter LCAP goals and LCFF funding was provided. This meeting provided an opportunity for CSEA input on the development of the 2024-2027 district and charter LCAPs.
School Site Principals	School site principals provide valuable input through an ongoing process of collaboration, planning, implementing, studying data/results, and responding. All principals meet bi-weekly to collaborate around best practices and provide input on the various district programs and supports outlined in the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) for both KCUSD and charter programs.
	August 23, 2023 September 19, 2023 October 17, 2023 November 7, 2023 December 5, 2023 February 6, 2024 March 5, 2024 April 16, 2024 May 7, 2024
Administrators	KCO site and district level administrators have opportunities to provide input on the KCUSD, RMCHS, and KC Online LCAPs through a variety of methods. Administrators, alongside parents, provide input on goals during District Advisory Committee meetings and District English Learner Advisory Committee meetings. In addition, all district administrators participate in an annual survey in which feedback is solicited for each goal individually.

	October 23, 2023 January 29, 2024 March 4, 2024 May 6, 2024
Teachers	All KCO teachers participate in an annual district and charter LCAP input survey where input is solicited for each of the six LCAP goals. The annual KCO Staff Survey was distributed in March of 2024.
Other School Personnel	KCO staff (teachers, classified, management) participate in an annual LCAP input survey where input is solicited for each of the six LCAP goals, which are consistent in district and charter LCAPs. The annual KCO Staff Survey was distributed in March of 2024.
Parents	Kings Canyon Unified uses a variety of methods to solicit input from parents. Parents have the opportunity to participate on district advisory committees throughout the year. These meetings were held on October 23, 2023, January 29, 2024, March 4, 2024 and May 6, 2024. In addition, all district parents were invited to LCAP Community Input Meetings on February 8 and 15 in the communities of Reedley and Orange Cove respectively. Annual surveys are also provided to parents to collect feedback on school environments and safety, school to home communication, quality of programs, and parent involvement. The annual parent survey was given to parents in February of 2024. Finally, parents of students with disabilities had the opportunity to provide ongoing feedback to the district about programs and services for students with disabilities through regular Special Education "Parent Connect" meetings. These meetings were held on September 19, 2023, October 17, 2023, November 14, 2023, and April 9, 2024.
Students	Annually, all students in grades 3-12 take a culture and climate survey. Students provide input on their experience in relation to school safety, support, and connectedness. The annual student survey was given to students in February of 2024. In addition, student representatives from each high school participated on the KCO Student Advisory Board where both district and charter program input was solicited on October 18, 2023, November 14, 2023, January 24, 2024, and May 1, 2024.
SELPA Collaboration and Special Education Engagement	Kings Canyon Unified School District is part of the Fresno County SELPA and participated in Operations Meetings, where the LCAP

	was discussed with member districts and input solicited. SELPA Operations Meetings were held on the September 14, 2023, October 19, 2023, November 7, 2023, January 25, 2024, February 15, 2024, March 18, 2024, and April 18, 2024.
	Additionally, KCO along with KCUSD holds regular Special Education "Parent Connect" meetings to seek input on what the district can do to improve services for students with disabilities. Parent Connect meetings were held on September 19, 2023, October 17, 2023, November 14, 2023, April 9, 2024, and April 23, 2024.
	Lastly, KC Online partners with KCUSD to utilize a Special Education Cabinet to seek input on how to improve services for our students with disabilities. This team is comprised of site administrators, special education and general education teachers, and District Administration. Special Education Cabinet met on September 14, 2023, October 12, 2023, November 16, 2023, February 15,
Mid-Year Report to Governing Board	The KCO Mid Year Update was presented to the Governing Board on February 27, 2024.
Public Comment Period	The KCO LCAP was available for public comment from May 17 - May 28, 2024.
Public Hearing	The KCO LCAP public hearing was held on May 28, 2024.
Board LCAP Adoption	Local indicators were presented in conjunction with LCAP Adoption as per Ed Code 52064.5(e)(2). The Board adopted the LEA budget at the same meeting of the LCAP Adoption on Tuesday, June 11, 2024.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Each year, Kings Canyon Online (KCO) actively involves its educational partners in substantive conversations concerning the needs of students, parents, school sites, and the district as a whole. This collaborative effort encompasses a variety of activities, including community engagement nights, student surveys, parent surveys, staff surveys, advisory committee meetings at both the school site and district levels, student advisory committee meetings, and district leadership focus groups.

Throughout these engagement initiatives, Kings Canyon Online collects, synthesizes, and summarizes input and feedback from each of its educational partners. This process involves careful consideration of local and state school and district achievement data, as well as an assessment of the school's budgetary position. The practice of incorporating diverse perspectives and data-driven insights, allows the school to develop a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities at KCO.

Ultimately, this collective input is prioritized to inform the development and annual update of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP). By aligning educational partner input with educational objectives and resource allocation, KCO strives to foster a supportive and effective learning environment that meets the needs of all students, in effort to reach our vision that all students will learn and succeed.

### Goal 1 - High Quality Education and Basic Services

The actions in goal 1 are continued actions and educational partners were supportive of continuing these actions, as they provide the majority of basic services necessary to function as a school site and school district. Educational partner input reflects that current actions in goal 1 provide for high quality school facilities(1.7) and meeting student needs through effective teachers (1.1) and support staff (1.5) for students with disabilities such as resource specialists, speech pathologists, and program specialists. Action (1.8) provides for an additional administrator to support student behavior and attendance issues.

#### Goal 2 - Student Achievement

The primary focus for student achievement is to provide students with a quality online curriculum for learning (2.1). Input from parents and teachers alike indicated that KCO should continue to provide for updating technology (2.3) to ensure that every student has access to technology in the lab and at home. This is to support low-income students, but all students school-wide will benefit. The recognition of students achievements through academic awards and incentive trips (2.4) will encourage students to continue in their efforts toward academic achievement. Our local data shows us that many of the students enrolling at KCO are missing graduation requirements. KCO will provide opportunities for students to engage in credit recovery to earn the missing core or elective credits needed for graduation (2.5 and 2.6).

### Goal 3 - English Learners

English Learners make up approximately 28% of the students in Kings Canyon Unified. Educational partner input shows that KCO has done an outstanding job supporting the needs of EL students and helping each student work towards reclassification. Through this feedback, many of the actions in goal 3 will be continued. However, data also shows that English Learner Progress is an area that we must focus on, per the English Learner Progress Indicator. Both DELAC and site/district administration input supports the continued staffing of a full time ELD teacher (action 3.1) and will expand to include the purchase of supplemental language software (action 3.3). Input from both DAC and DELAC committees and parents through LCAP Community Input Meetings support the continued efforts to provide extended day support (3.2) in the form of intervention. This is to directly meet the needs of English Learners.

#### Goal 4 - Educational and Co-Curricular Activities

Input from our educational partners supports the academic support and guidance provided by an academic counselor (4.1) for our low-income and foster youth. This action will benefit any student who is struggling academically, so it will be available school wide. Students and parents have expressed that low-income and foster students need more opportunities to visit colleges, museums, and participate in educational trips (2.2), so this will be available to all students as it benefits any student who is planning for post high school plans. Local data has shown that low-income, foster youth, and ELD students would gain from the opportunity to continue their education through summer learning (2.3 and 2.4). Summer learning reduces learning losses, supports in closing achievement gaps, and improves graduation rates.

Educational partners have also expressed the facilities needed to be expanded to allow for more students to benefit from in person intervention and face to face meetings with the academic counselor (2.6).

#### Goal 5 - Safe and Nurturing School Environments

Local data shows that providing a safe and positive environment for students is important to our educational partners. KCO is committed to providing the materials that maintain a clean and safe environment (5.1) for students. Chronic absenteeism and progress data show us that students do benefit from a positive climate in the form behavior and academic supports. This is to support low-income students, but will also be available school wide as it is beneficial to all students. KCO will continue to utilize PBIS strategies such as incentive trips, awards, and incentives (5.2) to increase student progress, connection to their school and reduce misbehavior.

#### Goal 6 - Parent Engagement

Conversations with our educational partners have shown us that communication and involvement between the school and parents is highly valued. KCO parents have appreciated being a part of workshops, registrations and conferences (6.1). The goal is to encourage participation of parents and increase opportunities for parents to be involved. This will also extend to involving parents in student recognition and celebration events (6.2). Students and parents have shown us that celebrating student achievement is highly motivating to all students, particularly our low-income and ELD students. This also creates a stronger partnership between KCO and parents as we work together to encourage students to strive for further educational success. Local data has also shown us that parents want to have more of a voice in advocating for their students and the school. KCO will continue to look for ways to engage parents in an advisory role (6.3).

### Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	All students will receive a high quality education in an environment designed to provide them with	Broad Goal
	opportunities to develop their greatest potential.	

#### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)

#### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Goal 1 has been developed for the purpose of addressing state priorities 1 (Basic Conditions of Learning) and 2 (State Standards-Conditions of Learning). It is a priority of Kings Canyon Unified School District that students have basic services, ensuring that all students are attending school on campuses that are in good condition while being taught by teachers who are appropriately assigned and provided with adequate textbooks, materials, supplies and supports.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	Appropriately assigned and fully credentialed teachers	83.4% Clear  Data Source: CA  Dashboard			85% of teachers are appropriately assigned.	
1.2	Percentage of students having access to standards aligned	100% of students have sufficient access to standards-aligned materials.  Data Source: Local Data			100% of students have sufficient access to standards-aligned materials.	
1.3	School facilities condition	100% of school sites have a rating of "good"			100% of school sites have a rating	

Data Sour 2024 Faci Inspection		of "good" Facility Ins Tool	
--------------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------	--

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Credentialed Teachers and Administrator	KCO will provide fully and appropriately credentialed teachers and administration.	\$407,000.00	No
1.2	Professional Development	KCO will provide professional development opportunities for all teachers to improve instructional strategies for all students.	\$15,000.00	Yes

1.3	Professional Development	KCO will provide professional development opportunities for all teachers to improve instructional strategies for all students.	\$3,218.26	No
1.4	Basic Operating Expenses	This includes, but is not limited to salaries/benefits (classified), general office and classroom materials, supplies, and equipment, and copier lease fees.	\$520,327.03	No
1.5	Direct Support- Program Academic Support staff are provided to KCO by our authorizing school district. This includes salaries and benefits for curriculum and instructional support.		\$8,827.00	Yes
1.6	District Support - Basic Operating Costs	Basic Operating Costs	\$30,000.00	No
1.7	Routine Restricted Maintenance	Routine restricted maintenance (3%) to ensure facilities are kept up to date.	\$50,077.00	No
1.8	Additional Administrator Support	This action is to provide salaries and benefits for an additional administrator to support students with behavior/attendance issues, assign consequences for misbehavior, and positive reinforcement for struggling students meeting their goals.	\$170,259.00	Yes
1.9	Basic Operating Expenses- Substitutes	Provide substitutes when teachers are out due to illness or school business.	\$20,000.00	No

### Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
	All student will demonstrate and increase in academic achievement in all core subject areas- English Language Arts (ELA), Math, Science, and Social Science - through the implementation of state standards	Broad Goal

#### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

#### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Goal 2 was developed to address state priorities 2 (State Standards), 4 (Pupil Achievement), 7 (Course Access), and 8 (Other Pupil Outcomes). It is a priority of Kings Canyon Online that all students have access to grade-level state standards, along with rigorous curriculum to support academic achievement for all students.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	ELA CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard	57.34% All Students 27.27% EL 54% LI FY Data Not Available Data Source: DataQuest 22-23			62% All Students 35% EL 58% LI	
2.2	Math CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard	24% All Students 0% EL 20% LI FY Data Not Available			30% All Students 20% EL 26% LI	

		Data Source: DataQuest 22-23			
2.3	CAST Meets/Exceeds Standard	30.18% All Students 2.32% EL 19.32% LI 8.77% FY 8.7% SWD Data Source: DataQuest 22-23		37% All Students 10% EL 26% LI 15% FY 13% SWD	
2.4	Percentage students considered college and career ready	31.3% All Students No Data-EL 29% LI FY Data Not Available Data Source: CA Dashboard 22-23		38% All Students 35% LI	

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Instruction/Curriculu m - Online Provider	Provide students with online curriculum provider and online platform for learning.	\$200,000.00	No
2.2	Additional Materials and Supplies	Provide students with materials and supplies for learning.	\$10,000.00	No
2.3	Technology	\$286,539.00	Yes	
2.4	Academic Awards/Recognition Purchase plaques, medals, trophies, and incentive trips		\$17,831.00	Yes
2.5	Credit Recovery	Purchase licenses for credit recovery options	\$31,054.00	Yes
2.6	Credit Provide online credit recovery course options to help students in need of making up coursework to meet graduation requirements n		\$10,280.05	No
2.7	Library	Provide access to reading materials for students.	\$3,705.00	No
2.8	District Support - Academic Program Supports  Pay KCUSD to provide program support in the form of curriculum and instruction staff, educational programs dept., business services, learning center, and student services. Program support staff are provided to KCO through our authorizing school district.		\$65,000.00	Yes

2.9	Extended Day Support	Salaries for and materials to support extended day intervention, during summer, after school, Saturday, along with additional options. These additional supports will focus on ELA, Math, and Science.	\$10,000.00	Yes
2.10	Technology	Purchase technology, such as monitors, projectors, chromebooks, and hot spots.	\$21,741.00	No

### Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
	All English learners will demonstrate progress towards attaining English language proficiency and increase the percentage of attaining proficiency in ELA and Math.	Broad Goal

#### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

#### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Goal 3 was developed to address state priorities 2 (State Standards) and 4 (Pupil Achievement). English learner progress continues to be a priority for Reedley Middle College High School. This goal has been established to ensure that English Language Learners have increased support towards attaining English language proficiency and increasing student achievement on state and local measures. This goal is implemented in alignment with Goal 2. The growth English learners have made will continue through the implementation of this goal and will support their academic achievement.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	ELPAC Proficiency	16.50%  Data Source: DataQuest 2022-2023			25%	
3.2	English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI)	57.9%  Data Source: CA  Dashboard 2022-2023			63.9%	
3.3	ELA CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard	27.27 %  Data Source: DataQuest 2022-2023			34%	

3.4	Math CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard	0%  Data Source:  DataQuest 2022-2023		15%	

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Teacher Salaries - EL Strategies Across Classrooms	Teacher Salaries - EL Strategies	\$70,000.00	Yes
3.2	Extended Day Support	Teacher Salaries and supplemental materials will be provided for extended day supports	\$5,000.00	Yes

3.3	Supplemental Tools	Purchase supplemental language software	\$10,000.00	Yes
3.4	ELD Teacher	Provide English learners with a full time ELD teacher to provide daily English language development.	\$90,000.00	No

### Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
	All students will be provided a broad spectrum of educational and co-curricular activities so that they may reach their highest potential and their college/career goals.	Broad Goal

#### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)

Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

#### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Goal 4 was developed to address state priorities 2 (State Standards), 4 (Pupil Achievement), 5 (Pupil Engagement), 7 (Course Access), and 8 (Other Pupil Outcomes). This goal was established for the purpose of ensuring that students are provided a broad spectrum of both educational and co-curricular activities to support college and career readiness. Students in KCUSD benefit greatly from having access to Career and Technical Education and access to courses that allow them to be A-G eligible as they work towards being college and career ready. Additionally, research indicates that students involved in co and extracurricular activities have higher attendance rates, have fewer discipline issues and have higher student achievement rates. This goal is essential in connecting students to meaningful co and extracurricular activities to support students in attaining their highest potential and being better prepared to achieve college and career readiness.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.1	Cohort Graduation Rate	All-81.3% LI 80.6% EL-No Data Available FY- No Data Available Data Source- CA Dashboard 22-23			AII-87% SED 87%	

4.2	A-G Completion Rate	All - 0% EL-No Data Available LI - 0% FY-No Data Available Data Source- Dashboard 22-23		All - 10% EL-No Data Available LI - 10%	
4.3	CTE Participation Rate	All - 16% EL-No Data Available LI - 16.1% FY-No Data Available Data Source- Local Data 22-23		All - 22% EL-No Data Available LI - 22%	
4.4	CTE Pathway Completion Rate	All - 15.6 EL- No Data Available LI - 16.1 FY - No Data Available Data Source- Dashboard 22-23		Determined once data is available	
4.5	A-G and CTE Pathway Completion Rate	All - 0% EL-No Data Available LI - 0% FY-No Data Available Data Source- Dataquest 22-23		Determined once data is available	
4.6	High School Dropout Rate	All - 6% EL-No Data Available LI - 6% FY-No Data Available Data Source- Dataquest 22-23		All - 3% LI - 3%	

4.7	Middle School Dropout Rate	All- 0% EL- 0% LI - 0% FY- 0% Data Source- CA Dashboard 22-23		Determined once data is available	

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	College Readiness- Counseling	Salary for counselor to provide college readiness services	\$49,981.55	Yes

4.2	College Readiness - College/Career Study Trips	Transportation and entrance costs for college/career trips	\$26,000.00	Yes
4.3	Summer School	KCO will provide robust extended learning summer opportunities to strengthen the engagement of low-income, English Learners, and foster youth students	\$32,000.00	Yes
4.4	Summer School Curriculum Licensing	Purchase curriculum licenses	\$6,500.00	Yes
4.5	Extra/Co Curricular Opportunities	Provide materials and services to support extra/co-curricular activities	\$30,000.00	Yes
4.6	Additional In-Person Instructional Support	Expansion of current facilities	\$625,000.00	Yes

### Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
5	All students will be provided with a safe and nurturing learning environment that supports their social, emotional, and academic success.	Broad Goal

#### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)

Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

#### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Goal 5 was developed to address state priorities 5 (Pupil Engagement), 6 (School Climate), and 8 (Other Pupil Outcomes). This goal was established with the purpose of ensuring that students are provided with safe and nurturing learning environments to support their social, emotional, and academic success. Due to the effects of the COVID pandemic, the social and emotional wellness of students will be more important than ever.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
5.1	Suspension Rate	All - 0% LI - 0% EL 0% FY- 0% Data Source: CA Dashboard 2022-2023			All - 1% LI - 1% EL 1% FY- 1%	
5.2	Expulsion Rate	All - 0% LI - 0% EL 0% FY- 0% Data Source: CA Dashboard 2022-2023			All - 1% LI - 1% EL 1% FY- 1%	

5.3	Attendance Rate	All - 88% LI - No Data EL- No Data FY- No Data Data Source: CA Dashboard 2022-2023		All - 91% LI - EL- FY-	
5.4	Chronic Absenteeism Rate	All - 11.4% LI - 18.2% EL- 9.1 FY- No data Data Source: CA Dashboard 2022-2023		All - 11.4% LI - 18.2% EL- 9.1 FY-	
5.5	Student Safety - Student Survey Response	98.2% 9-12 94.6% K-8 Data Source: Local Survey Data		98% 9-12 95% K-8	

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
5.1	Campus Safety - Custodial Supplies	Provide materials and supplies to ensure a clean, safe environment for students.	\$7,000.00	No
5.2	Positive Climate - Awards/Rewards/Inc entives	Purchase awards, rewards, and incentives including trips	\$15,000.00	Yes
5.3	Attendance Support and Incentives	Purchase awards, rewards, and incentives including trips	\$7,000.00	Yes

### Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
6	All students will have the opportunity to increase academic achievement through the engagement of parents and families.	Broad Goal

#### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Goal 6 was developed to address state priorities 3 (Parent Involvement), 4 (Pupil Achievement), and 8 (Other Pupil Outcomes). This goal was established with the purpose of ensuring that students have the opportunity to increase academic achievement through the engagement and education of parents and families.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
6.1	Parent Survey Return Rate	41% Surveys Returned  Data Source: Local  Data 23-24			55%	
6.2	More than 10 opportunities will be offered to parents to participate or be involved school activities.	100%  Data Source: Local  Data 23-24			100%	
6.3	Quality of Schools - Parent Survey Response	94% Good or Excellent  Data Source: Local  Parent Survey 23-24			Maintain at or above 90%	

6.4	School and District Communication - Parent Survey Response	90% Good or Excellent  Data Source: Local  Parent Survey 23-24		Maintain at or above 90%	

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable: Please refer to the 2023-24 LCAP Annual Update.

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
6.1	Conferences and	KCO will provide more frequent opportunities and varying methods of communicating with staff about student progress. This action includes paying for materials, supplies, refreshments, and translation for parent conferences, workshops, and other parent meetings.	\$2,716.43	No

6.2	Parent Involvement in Student Recognition/Celebration	Purchase of materials such as plaques, medals, trophies, backdrops, refreshments, etc that will help encourage parent participation	\$3,500.00	Yes
6.3	Parent Advisory and Participation Opportunities	Provide parent survey, refreshments, translation services, and materials to promote parent involvement and education.	\$11,000.00	Yes
6.4	Advertisement and Promotion	Provide visual banners and marquee announcements utilizing existing city/community digital marquees to communicate information to our students, parents, and community.	\$5,000.00	No

# Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2024-25]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$671,008	\$83,387

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year		LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
37.188%	41.931%	\$\$748,511.39	79.119%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

### **Required Descriptions**

#### LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.2	Action: Professional Development	Certificated and classified staff will attend specific professional development based on their content area, experience, specific personal needs to	ELA CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard Math CAASPP
	Need: According to state data on distance from standard on ELA and Math assessments,	improve their teaching/supporting practices. The professional development opportunities provided by this action are designed to meet the needs	Meets/Exceeds Standard CAST Meets/Exceeds Standard
	KCO's English learners and low-income	most associated with English learners and low-	Clandard
	students are in need of additional academic support. Utilizing state assessment data and	income students. However, because we expect that all students struggling academically will	

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	feedback from our district advisory committee and our district teachers association, our local needs assessment identified the need for additional professional development to meet the needs of our English learners and low income students through unit planning support, implementation of evidenced based instructional strategies, assessment development, and intervention.  Scope:  LEA-wide	benefit, this action is provided on an LEA-wide basis.	
1.5	Action: Direct Support- Program Academic Support Staff  Need: Low income students are in need of additional academic support as evidenced by ELA and Math scores on state and local assessments.  Scope: LEA-wide Schoolwide	Support staff including C&I staff for ELA and math instructional coaching, academic monitoring, to support low income students. This action includes costs to KCUSD for program support staffing.	ELA CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard- All Students, LI Math CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard- All Students, LI CAST Meets/Exceeds Standard- All Students, LI Percentage students considered college and career ready
1.8	Action: Additional Administrator Support  Need:  Scope: LEA-wide Schoolwide		

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
2.3	Action: Technology  Need: Educational partner feedback indicates that many low-income students do not have reliable access to technology in class and at home. KCO will continue to provide supplemental technology support to promote increased student achievement.  Scope: LEA-wide Schoolwide	Resources will be allocated to students to ensure low-income students have supplemental technology in classrooms and at home to support increasing student achievement. This action will support the purchasing and updating of computers and supporting technology to ensure 1:1 access for students in the KCO computer labs. In addition, this action will provide all students with an opportunity to check out computers and internet devices so that they have reliable access to their online courses from home. These supplemental technology investments are designed to meet the needs most associated with low-income students. However, all students will benefit from the improved use of technology, so this action is provided on a LEA wide basis.	Percentage students considered college and career ready -All Students, LI
2.4	Action: Academic Awards/Recognition  Need: Feedback from low-income and EL students, their parents, and staff indicate the celebrating students' academic achievements throughout the year is a motivator for students. This feedback was collected verbally through parent meetings, student listening forums, as well as parent surveys. Academic recognition provides an opportunity to celebrate student success and motivates students to continue with their efforts.  Scope: LEA-wide	This action will provide low income and EL students with both recognition and awards (plaques/medals/trophies/incentive trips) for their academic and behavior progress throughout the school year. While this action is designed to meet the needs of our low income and EL students, we expect that this action will be beneficial for all students and therefore will be implemented school wide.	Percentage students considered college and career ready -All Students, LI, EL
2.5	Action: Credit Recovery	This action is to provide online credit recovery course options to help students in need of making up coursework to meet graduation requirements.	Graduation Rate - All Students, LI, EL, FY

oal and ction #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Need: Local data indicates that many of the students enrolling in the KC Online program, especially English learners, low-income, and foster youth students are missing core or elective graduation requirements. Utilizing district and school site graduation data and local credit recovery performance reports, our needs assessments indicates that credit recovery opportunities improve the graduation rate for English learners, low-income, and foster youth students.  Scope: LEA-wide	This action is designed to meet the needs most associated with English learners, low-income students, and foster youth. However, because we expect that all students who have failed required courses would benefit from credit recovery options, this action will be implemented school wide.	
2.8	Action: District Support - Academic Program Supports  Need: KCO's English learners and low income students are in need of additional academic support as evidenced by ELA and Math scores on state and local assessments. Parents have indicated that low income students oftentimes lack supplemental learning resources and access to academic help at home.  Scope: LEA-wide Schoolwide	staff include an ELD instructional coach, supplemental instruction resources, and progress monitoring staff and resources. This action includes program support staffing (progress	Math CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard - All Students, LI, EL ELA CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard - All Students, LI, EL
2.9	Action: Extended Day Support Need:	KC Online will continue to provide supplemental tutoring/intervention opportunities after the school day to benefit low-income, English learner and foster youth students and their academic progress. This action is designed to meet the needs most	Math CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard - All Students, LI, EL, FY

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Local data and educational partner input indicates that providing after school extended learning opportunities increases attendance, and provides interventions and support for academic achievement, especially for English learners, low-income, and foster youth students. Our local needs assessment highlighted that low income students, English learners, and foster youth lack access to academic support/intervention outside of school.  Scope:  LEA-wide	associated with English learners, low-income, and foster youth. However, because we expect that all students struggling academically will benefit, this action is provided on an school-wide basis.	ELA CAASPP Meets/Exceeds Standard - All Students, LI, EL, FY CAST Meets/Exceeds Standard - All Students, LI, EL, FY
4.1	Action: College Readiness-Counseling  Need: KC Online's low-income and foster youth students' graduation rates and state and local academic achievement data are lower than the all-student group, as identified in the metric section.  Scope: LEA-wide	This action will provide academic support and guidance for low-income and foster youth students who need additional support in meeting graduation requirements and developing a plan for post high school opportunities. Students with access to a qualified academic counselor will better understand admission requirements for community college, CSU's, and UC schools. In addition, counseling services are imperative to ensuring students are college and career ready. This action is designed to meet the needs and stresses most associated with low-income, English learners, and foster youth. However, because we expect that all students struggling academically will benefit, this action will be provided to all students at KC Online.	Cohort Graduation Rate - All Students, EL, LI, FY A-G Completion Rate- All Students, EL, LI, FY High School Dropout Rate All Students, EL, LI, FY CTE Participation Rate- All Students, EL, LI, FY CTE Pathway Completion Rate- All Students, EL, LI, FY CTE Pathway Completion Rate- All Students, EL, LI, FY
4.2	Action: College Readiness - College/Career Study Trips  Need: Based on feedback from students and parents through parent conferences, school site	This action is to provide students with educational study/college trips for college and career exposure. This action will both educate students about post high school opportunities and motivate student to commit to their education in effort to reach their goals. While this action is intended to support our low income and foster youth students,	Cohort Graduation Rate - All Students, LI, FY A-G Completion Rate - All Students, LI, FY High School Dropout Rate - All Students, LI, FY

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	council meetings, and the annual parent survey, students of low-income status and foster youth lack the opportunity to visit colleges, museums, and participate in other educational trips outside of school.  Scope:	we recognize that it will benefit all students. Therefore, this action will be implemented school wide.	
	LEA-wide		
4.3	Action: Summer School Need:		
	Scope: LEA-wide Schoolwide		
4.4	Action: Summer School Curriculum Licensing  Need: Kings Canyon Online local data indicates that an extended school year has helped mitigate summer learning loss, supports in closing achievement gaps, and improves the graduation rate for English learners, low-income, and foster youth students.  Scope: LEA-wide	In order to provide a robust extended learning summer opportunities to strengthen the engagement of low-income, English Learners, and foster youth students, Kings Canyon Online will need to provide for the purchase of summer school curriculum licensing. This licensing will provide students with opportunities for both credit recovery as well as enrichment via online coursework during the summer. This action is designed to meet the needs most associated with English learners, low-income, and foster youth. However, because we expect that all students will benefit from credit recovery and/or enrichment opportunities, this action is provided on an LEA-wide basis.	Cohort Graduation Rate - All Students, LI, EL, FY A-G Completion Rate - All Students, LI, EL, FY High School Dropout Rate - All Students, LI, EL, FY
4.5	Action: Extra/Co Curricular Opportunities	This action is to provide materials, supplies, and services to expand after school clubs for students	Cohort Graduation Rate - All Students, LI, EL, FY

Soal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Need: Student input and feedback from parents on the annual parent survey show that our low income, foster youth, and EL students who are involved in extra and co-curricular activities have a better connection to school and are more motivated to perform. In addition, data analysis indicates that students who are connected with school have a higher attendance rate.  Scope:  LEA-wide	at KC Online. Students have requested that we expand after school club opportunities to provide opportunities for student to student connection and hands on engagement. While this action was designed to meet the needs of our low-income, foster youth, and EL students, we recognize that increased connection with school will benefit all students.	High School Dropout Rate - All Students, LI, EL, FY
4.6	Action: Additional In-Person Instructional Support  Need: Local data indicates that low-income, English Learner, and Foster Youth students attending Kings Canyon Online have a lower A-G rate than the overall metric for KCUSD. Kings Canyon Online provides instruction via an internet platform. Families and students have expressed needing a place to be able to come onto campus to receive additional support as well as participate in engagement/enrichment activities.  Scope: LEA-wide	This action is to expand existing facilities to accommodate critical staff that will support students in the completion of their courses, participate in co/extracurricular activities, and to receive socio-emotional support. This additional support center is designed to support low-income students, their parents, in order to create a welcoming and collaborative environment where the identified students can access these additional supports. This action is designed to meet the needs most associated with low-income, English Learner and Foster Youth students. However, because we expect that all students enrolled at Kings Canyon Online will benefit from these additional supports, this action is provided on a LEA wide basis.	A-G Completion Rate- All Students, LI, EL, FY
5.2	Action: Positive Climate - Awards/Rewards/Incentives  Need:	Positive Behavior Intervention & Support (PBIS) have been shown to be effective in reducing student misbehaviors as well as increasing student connection with school and motivation to success.	Suspension Rate Expulsion Rate Attendance Rate Chronic Absenteeism Rate

Soal and action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Low-income students are in need of additional behavioral and academic support in comparison to all students as indicated by our chronic absenteeism (defined by academic progress with independent study) and our local behavior data.  Scope:  LEA-wide	Positive behavioral support refers to the application of positive behavioral and academic interventions and systems to achieve socially important behavior change. KC Online will continue to implement PBIS strategies to proactively teach our low income students our expectations and to reward and recognize low income students for their progress. Action 2 is to provide students with a positive, proactive approach to student attendance, discipline, and academic achievement. This action includes the following:  • Awards and Rewards for student achievement  • Incentive trips for students meeting their academic goals  • Student incentives for attendance, behavior, and academic achievement  The additional resources are designed to meet the needs most associated with low-income students. However, because we expect that all students struggling behaviorally and academically will benefit, this action is provided on an school-wide basis.	Student Safety - Student Survey Response
5.3	Action: Attendance Support and Incentives  Need: Low-income students are in need of additional attendance support in comparison to all students as indicated by KCO attendance and chronic absenteeism data.  Scope:	The Chronic Absentee Recovery Effort (CARE Project) has been successful in reducing the number of low-income students who qualify as chronically absent by incentivizing good school attendance. This action provides incentives for students with strong attendance and to provide support to students that are missing school. This will provide an additional layer of support for our low-income students and families. This action is designed to meet the needs most associated with English learners, low-income, and foster youth. However, because we expect that all students struggling to attend school on a daily basis will	Attendance Rate - All Students, Low Income Students

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide Schoolwide	benefit, this action is provided on an LEA-wide basis.	
6.2	Action: Parent Involvement in Student Recognition/Celebration  Need: Feedback from low-income and EL students, their parents, and staff through local surveys indicates that celebrating students' academic achievements throughout the year is a motivator for students. Feedback was collected verbally through parent meetings as well as via parent surveys. Students that attend KC Online typically come from challenging educational experiences. Academic recognition celebrates student success and motivates students to continue with their efforts.  Scope: LEA-wide	This action will provide low income and EL students with both recognition and awards (plaques/medals/trophies/incentive trips) for their academic and behavior progress throughout the school year and materials/supplies for parents participating in such events. While this action is designed to meet the needs of our low income and EL students, we expect that this action will benefit all students and therefore be implemented school wide.	Parent Survey Return Rate More than 10 opportunities will be offered to parents to participate or be involved school activities. Attendance Rate - All Students, LI, EL
6.3	Action: Parent Advisory and Participation Opportunities  Need: Feedback received from educational partners included requests to continue user-friendly ways to communicate with site leaders in order to support English learners, low-income and foster youth students.  Scope:	<ul> <li>"KC Online will continue to provide a parent survey written in parent friendly language to allow for greater interaction and quality input on the KC Online program. This survey will be easy to access as it will be available on an online platform. This survey will allow for increased parent engagement and continued parent input to inform the development of programs for students by:         <ul> <li>Empowering families by providing them with an opportunity to voice their suggestions and concerns</li> <li>Creating channels of communication between home and school</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Parent Survey Return Rate - All Students  Quality of Schools - Parer Survey Response- All Students  More than 10 opportunitie will be offered to parents to participate or be involved school activities.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide	<ul> <li>Offering an array of opportunities for families to participate in school planning, leadership and volunteering</li> <li>This action includes the following:         <ul> <li>Refreshments at parent advisory meetings/school site council</li> <li>Materials and supplies for the district fair</li> <li>Parent resources</li> <li>Student incentives for parent feedback (surveys)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The parent survey is designed to meet the needs most associated with English learners, low-income, and foster youth. However, because we expect that all parents will benefit from user-friendly communication tools, this action is provided on a school-wide basis."</li> </ul>	

# **Limited Actions**

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
2.4	Actions	This patien is to answer that all to show at I/O	ELDAC Profision ou El
3.1	Action: Teacher Salaries - EL Strategies Across Classrooms  Need: KC Online's English learners are in need of additional academic support in comparison to all students as indicated in the metric section on state and local ELA and math assessments.	This action is to ensure that all teachers at KC Online are qualified and expected to support ELD standards and implement effective research-based EL strategies to increase learning. Increases in student achievement, particularly of English learners, is directly affected by the implementation of tools and strategies necessary to support language acquisition and equity of access. This action is principally directed to meet the needs of our English learners.	ELPAC Proficiency - EL ELPI - EL

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		
3.2	Action: Extended Day Support  Need: KC Online English learners are in need of additional academic support in comparison to all students as indicated in the metric section on state ELA and math assessments.  Scope: Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Extended day supplemental opportunities will be provided to increase language acquisition as well as student achievement in core subjects (math, ELA) through extended day intervention and support. The academic support provided for these students will accelerate the acquisition of language through the reinforcement of academic language, speaking and listening activities, and individualized support. This action is principally directed to meet the needs of our English learners.	
3.3	Action: Supplemental Tools  Need: KCO's English learners are in need of additional academic support in comparison to all students as indicated in the metric section on state and local ELA and math assessments  Scope: Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	This action is to implement a language acquisition software to support English Language Learners in reaching language proficiency. Local data shows that some English learners may respond more readily to instruction delivered through technology. The use of these types of supplemental resources has contributed to the continued academic growth of EL students in our authorizing district. This action is principally directed to meet the needs of our English learners.	ELPAC Proficiency- EL ELPI- EL

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

# **Additional Concentration Grant Funding**

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	NA	154:1
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	NA	19:1

# **2024-25 Total Expenditures Table**

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
	[INPUT]	[INPUT]	[AUTO-CALCULATED]	[AUTO-CALCULATED]	[AUTO-CALCULATED]
Totals	1,804,344	671,008	37.188%	41.931%	79.119%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
	[AUTO-CALCULATED]						
Totals	\$2,535,819.18	\$302,780.00		\$37,957.14	\$2,876,556.32	\$992,067.55	\$1,884,488.77

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?		Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non- personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
This table	e was autor	matically populated from thi	s LCAP.											
1	1.1	Credentialed Teachers and Administrator	All	No				\$407,000.0	\$0.00	\$145,000.00	\$262,000.00			\$407,000.00
1	1.2	Professional Development	English Learners Low Income		LEA- wide	English All Learners Schools Low Income		\$0.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00				\$15,000.00
1		Professional Development	All	No				\$0.00	\$3,218.26				\$3,218.26	\$3,218.26
1	1.4	Basic Operating Expenses	All	No				\$65,000.00	\$455,327.03	\$481,250.63	\$37,075.00		\$2,001.40	\$520,327.03
1		Direct Support- Program Academic Support Staff	Low Income	e Yes	LEA- wide Scho olwide	Low Income All Schools		\$8,827.00	\$0.00	\$8,827.00				\$8,827.00
1	1.6	District Support - Basic Operating Costs	All	No				\$0.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00				\$30,000.00
1	1.7	Routine Restricted Maintenance	All	No				\$0.00	\$50,077.00	\$50,077.00				\$50,077.00
1	1.8	Additional Administrator Support		Yes	LEA- wide Scho olwide	All Schools		\$170,259.0 0	\$0.00	\$170,259.00				\$170,259.00
1	1.9	Basic Operating Expenses- Substitutes	All	No				\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$20,000.00				\$20,000.00
2	2.1	Instruction/Curriculum - Online Provider	All	No				\$0.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00				\$200,000.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Gr	oup(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non- personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
2	2.2	Additional Materials and Supplies	All		No					\$0.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00				\$10,000.00
2	2.3	Technology	Low	Income	Yes	LEA- wide Scho olwide	Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$286,539.00	\$286,539.00				\$286,539.00
2	2.4	Academic Awards/Recognition	English I Low	Learners Income	Yes	LEA- wide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$17,831.00	\$17,831.00				\$17,831.00
2	2.5	Credit Recovery	English I Foster Low	Learners Youth Income	Yes	LEA- wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$31,054.00	\$31,054.00				\$31,054.00
2	2.6	Credit Recovery/Intervention	All		No					\$0.00	\$10,280.05				\$10,280.05	\$10,280.05
2	2.7	Library	All		No					\$0.00	\$3,705.00		\$3,705.00			\$3,705.00
2	2.8	District Support - Academic Program Supports	English l Low	Learners Income	Yes	LEA- wide Scho olwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools		\$65,000.00	\$0.00	\$65,000.00				\$65,000.00
2	2.9	Extended Day Support	English I Foster Low	Learners Youth Income	Yes	LEA- wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00				\$10,000.00
2	2.10	Technology	All		No					\$0.00	\$21,741.00				\$21,741.00	\$21,741.00
3	3.1	Teacher Salaries - EL Strategies Across Classrooms	English I	Learners		Limite d to Undupli cated Student Group( s)	English Learners	All Schools		\$70,000.00	\$0.00	\$70,000.00				\$70,000.00
3	3.2	Extended Day Support	English I	Learners		Limite d to Undupli cated Student Group( s)	English Learners	All Schools		\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00				\$5,000.00
3	3.3	Supplemental Tools	English I	Learners	Yes	Limite d to Undupli cated	English Learners	All Schools		\$0.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00				\$10,000.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Gr	roup(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?		Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non- personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
						Student Group( s)										
3	3.4	ELD Teacher	English Learr	ners	No					\$90,000.00	\$0.00	\$90,000.00				\$90,000.00
4	4.1	College Readiness- Counseling	English Foster Low	Learners Youth Income		LEA- wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$49,981.55	\$0.00	\$49,981.55				\$49,981.55
4	4.2	College Readiness - College/Career Study Trips	Foster Low	Youth Income		LEA- wide	Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$26,000.00	\$26,000.00				\$26,000.00
4	4.3	Summer School	English Low	Learners Income	Yes	LEA- wide Scho olwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools		\$30,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$32,000.00				\$32,000.00
4	4.4	Summer School Curriculum Licensing	English Foster Low	Learners Youth Income	Yes	LEA- wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$6,500.00	\$6,500.00				\$6,500.00
4	4.5	Extra/Co Curricular Opportunities	English Foster Low	Learners Youth Income		LEA- wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00				\$30,000.00
4	4.6	Additional In-Person Instructional Support	English Foster Low	Learners Youth Income		LEA- wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$625,000.00	\$625,000.00				\$625,000.00
5	5.1	Campus Safety - Custodial Supplies	All		No					\$0.00	\$7,000.00	\$7,000.00				\$7,000.00
5	5.2	Positive Climate - Awards/Rewards/Incentives	Low	Income	Yes	LEA- wide	Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00				\$15,000.00
5	5.3	Attendance Support and Incentives	Low	Income	Yes	LEA- wide Scho olwide	Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$7,000.00	\$7,000.00				\$7,000.00
6	6.1	Parent/Teacher Conferences and Communication	All		No					\$0.00	\$2,716.43	\$2,000.00			\$716.43	\$2,716.43
6	6.2	Parent Involvement in Student Recognition/Celebration	English Low	Learners Income		LEA- wide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00				\$3,500.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title		Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?		Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non- personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
6		Parent Advisory and Participation Opportunities	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		LEA- wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$1,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$11,000.00				\$11,000.00
6	6.4	Advertisement and Promotion	All	No					\$0.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00				\$5,000.00

# **2024-25 Contributing Actions Table**

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
[INPUT]	[INPUT]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]		[AUTO- CALCULATED]
1,804,344	671,008	37.188%	41.931%	79.119%	\$1,495,491.55	0.000%	82.883 %	Total:	\$1,495,491.55
								LEA-wide Total:	\$1,410,491.55
								Limited Total:	\$85,000.00
								Schoolwide Total:	\$569,625.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
This ta	ible is auto	matically generated and calcul	ated from this LCAP					
1	1.2	Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools	\$15,000.00	
1	1.5	Direct Support- Program Academic Support Staff	Yes	LEA-wide Schoolwide	Low Income	All Schools	\$8,827.00	
1	1.8	Additional Administrator Support	Yes	LEA-wide Schoolwide		All Schools	\$170,259.00	
2	2.3	Technology	Yes	LEA-wide Schoolwide	Low Income	All Schools	\$286,539.00	
2	2.4	Academic Awards/Recognition	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools	\$17,831.00	
2	2.5	Credit Recovery	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$31,054.00	
2	2.8	District Support - Academic Program Supports	Yes	LEA-wide Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools	\$65,000.00	
2	2.9	Extended Day Support	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$10,000.00	

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
3	3.1	Teacher Salaries - EL Strategies Across Classrooms	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools	\$70,000.00	
3	3.2	Extended Day Support	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools	\$5,000.00	
3	3.3	Supplemental Tools	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools	\$10,000.00	
4	4.1	College Readiness- Counseling	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$49,981.55	
4	4.2	College Readiness - College/Career Study Trips	Yes	LEA-wide	Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$26,000.00	
4	4.3	Summer School	Yes	LEA-wide Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools	\$32,000.00	
4	4.4	Summer School Curriculum Licensing	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$6,500.00	
4	4.5	Extra/Co Curricular Opportunities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$30,000.00	
4	4.6	Additional In-Person Instructional Support	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$625,000.00	
5	5.2	Positive Climate - Awards/Rewards/Incentives	Yes	LEA-wide	Low Income	All Schools	\$15,000.00	
5	5.3	Attendance Support and Incentives	Yes	LEA-wide Schoolwide	Low Income	All Schools	\$7,000.00	
6	6.2	Parent Involvement in Student Recognition/Celebration	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools	\$3,500.00	
6	6.3	Parent Advisory and Participation Opportunities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$11,000.00	

# 2023-24 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)	
	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	
Totals	\$3,239,633.81	\$1,749,640.89	

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)						
This table was automatically populated from the 2023 LCAP. Existing content should not be changed, but additional actions/funding can be added.											
1	1.1	Credentialed Teachers and Administrator	No	\$1,000,000.00	903953						
1	1.2	Professional Development	Yes	\$10,000.00	212.88						
1	1.3	Professional Development	No	\$1,115.98	0						
1	1.4	Basic Operating Expenses	No	\$491,638.99	79892.02						
1	1.5	Indirect Costs- Program Academic Support Staff	Yes	\$8,827.00	8827						
1	1.6	Indirect Costs- Basic Operating Costs	No	\$30,000.00	30000						
1	1.7	Routine Restricted Maintenance	No	\$50,077.00	0						
1	1.8	Additional Administrator Support	Yes	\$150,000.00	153492						
1	1.9	Basic Operating Expenses- Substitutes	No	\$50,000.00	67624.38						

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	2.1	Instruction/Curriculum - Online Provider	No	\$200,000.00	190840.75
2	2.2	Additional Materials and Supplies	No	\$10,000.00	5053.59
2	2.3	Technology	Yes	\$213,355.96	22969.26
2	2.4	Academic Awards/Recognition	Yes	\$12,831.00	5563.12
2	2.5	Credit Recovery/Intervention	Yes	\$31,054.00	13280
2	2.6	Credit Recovery/Intervention	No	\$3,102.45	0
2	2.7	Library	No	\$3,975.00	2280
2	2.8	Indirect Costs- Academic Program Supports	Yes	\$25,550.00	25550
2	2.9	Extended Day Support/Licensing	Yes	\$5,000.00	0
3	3.1	Teacher Salaries	Yes	\$65,000.00	68039
3	3.2	Extended Day Support	Yes	\$5,000.00	0
3	3.3	Supplemental Tools	Yes	\$2,500.00	0
3	3.4	ELD Teacher	No	\$90,000.00	92812
4	4.1	College Readiness- Counseling	Yes	\$49,981.55	59111

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
4	4.2	College Readiness - College/Career Study Trips	No	\$10,236.00	2051.69
4	4.3	Summer School	No	\$17,929.93	9283.34
4	4.4	Summer School Curriculum Licensing	Yes	\$6,500.00	0
4	4.5	Extra/Co Curricular Opportunities	Yes	\$15,000.00	2310.23
4	4.6	Additional In-Person Instructional Support	Yes	\$654,847.35	0
5	5.1	Campus Safety - Custodial Supplies	No	\$5,000.00	788.39
5	5.2	Positive Climate - Awards/Rewards/Incentives	No	\$11,000.00	3942.12
6	6.1	Parent/Teacher Conferences and Communication	No	\$1,111.60	339.94
6	6.2	Parent Involvement in Student Recognition/Celebration	No	\$2,500.00	0
6	6.3	Parent Advisory and Participation Opportunities	Yes	\$1,500.00	1425.18
6	6.4	Advertisement and Promotion	No	\$5,000.00	0

# **2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table**

# 2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

L Suppl ar Conce Gr (Inpu	etimated CFF lemental nd/or entration rants ut Dollar nount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
[IN	IPUT]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]	[AUTO- CALCULATED]
\$65	52326	\$1,246,743.11	\$430,779.67	\$815,963.44	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
This secti	ion is includ	ed to assist with development of	Annual Update Action T	ables but is not require	d, and should not be pr	inted, as part of the LCA	AP Annual Update.
This table	e was autom	natically populated from the 2022	LCAP. Existing conten	t should not be change	d, but additional actions	s/funding can be added.	
1	1.2	Professional Development	Yes	\$10,000.00	212.88		
1	1.5	Indirect Costs- Program Academic Support Staff	Yes	\$8,827.00	8827		
1	1.8	Additional Administrator Support	Yes	\$150,000.00	153492		
2	2.3	Technology	Yes	\$203,152.21	92969.26		
2	2.4	Academic Awards/Recognition	Yes	\$12,831.00	5563.12		
2	2.5	Credit Recovery/Intervention	Yes	\$31,054.00	13280		
2	2.8	Indirect Costs- Academic Program Supports	Yes	\$25,550.00	25550		
2	2.9	Extended Day Support/Licensing	Yes	\$5,000.00	0		
3	3.1	Teacher Salaries	Yes	\$65,000.00	68039		

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
3	3.2	Extended Day Support	Yes	\$5,000.00	0		
3	3.3	Supplemental Tools	Yes	\$2,500.00	0		
4	4.1	College Readiness- Counseling	Yes	\$49,981.55	59111		
4	4.4	Summer School Curriculum Licensing	Yes	\$6,500.00	0		
4	4.5	Extra/Co Curricular Opportunities	Yes	\$15,000.00	2310.23		
4	4.6	Additional In-Person Instructional Support	Yes	\$654,847.35	0		
6	6.3	Parent Advisory and Participation Opportunities	Yes	\$1,500.00	1425.18		

To Add a Row: Click "Add Row."

To Delete a Row: Remove all content from each cell, checkbox and dropdown of a row (including spaces), press "Save Data" and refresh the page.

# 2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Services for the	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
1785112	\$652326	29.52	66.063%	\$430,779.67	0.000%	24.132%	\$748,511.39	41.931%

# **Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions**

**Plan Summary** 

**Engaging Educational Partners** 

**Goals and Actions** 

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at <a href="LCFF@cde.ca.gov">LCFF@cde.ca.gov</a>.

# **Introduction and Instructions**

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- Comprehensive Strategic Planning: The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- Accountability and Compliance: The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections
  require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
  - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
    - NOTE: As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

# **Plan Summary**

# **Purpose**

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

# Requirements and Instructions

#### **General Information**

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

#### **Reflections: Annual Performance**

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
   and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

### **Reflections: Technical Assistance**

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as "Not Applicable."

## **Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

#### Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

### Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

## Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

# **Engaging Educational Partners Purpose**

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

# Requirements

**School districts and COEs:** *EC* sections <u>52060(g)</u> (<u>California Legislative Information</u>) and <u>52066(g)</u> (<u>California Legislative Information</u>) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- · Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

**Charter schools:** *EC* Section <u>47606.5(d)</u> (California Legislative Information) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- · Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the <a href="CDE's LCAP webpage">CDE's LCAP webpage</a>.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see Education Code Section 52062 (California Legislative Information);
  - o Note: Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of EC Section 52062(a).

- For COEs, see <u>Education Code Section 52068</u> (<u>California Legislative Information</u>); and
- For charter schools, see <u>Education Code Section 47606.5 (California Legislative Information)</u>.
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

# Instructions

# Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

# Complete the table as follows:

**Educational Partners** 

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

## **Process for Engagement**

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity
  Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement
  process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within
  the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
  - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
  - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
  - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
  - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
  - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
  - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
  - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
  - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
  - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
  - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
  - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
  - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

# **Goals and Actions**

# **Purpose**

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

# **Requirements and Instructions**

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
  - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving
    Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

## Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The <u>LCFF State Priorities Summary</u> provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

# Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

# Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

2024-25 Local Control and Accountability Plan for Kings Canyon Online

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

# Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
  - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
  - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

## Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
  - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to
  implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the
  ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

**Note:** *EC* Section 42238.024(b)(1) (California Legislative Information) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

### **Broad Goal**

# Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.
- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

## Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

## **Maintenance of Progress Goal**

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined
  to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

### Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

## **Measuring and Reporting Results:**

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- Required metrics for LEA-wide actions: For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
  - o The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
  - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

### Complete the table as follows:

#### Metric #

Enter the metric number.

#### Metric

• Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

#### Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
  - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan.
     LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
  - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
  - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
  - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
    - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain

- accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
- If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
- o Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

### Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

#### Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

## Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

# **Current Difference from Baseline**

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "Measuring and Reporting Results" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2025–26</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2026–27</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27. Leave blank until then.

### **Goal Analysis:**

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

**Note:** When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
  - o Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
  - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

• Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. "Effectiveness" means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
  - o In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - o Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

#### **Actions:**

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

#### Action #

Enter the action number.

#### Title

Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

## Description

• Provide a brief description of the action.

- For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each
  action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for
  the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
- As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth,
   English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
- These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

#### **Total Funds**

 Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

# Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a "Y" for Yes or an "N" for No.
  - Note: for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

**Actions for Foster Youth:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

# **Required Actions**

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
  - o Language acquisition programs, as defined in EC Section 306, provided to students, and
  - Professional development for teachers.
  - o If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
  - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
  - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

# Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

# **Purpose**

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

# **Statutory Requirements**

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for any action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

#### **LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions**

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

## For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

# Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

# Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

• Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

## Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

• Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

## Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

• Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

## LCFF Carryover — Percentage

• Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

# LCFF Carryover — Dollar

• Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

### Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover
Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as
compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

# **Required Descriptions:**

#### **LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions**

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

# Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

# How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

• As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

• Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

# **Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness**

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

#### **Limited Actions**

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

# Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

### How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

# **Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness**

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of
  the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that
  the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

• For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

### **Additional Concentration Grant Funding**

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

## Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - o The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

- The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that
  is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of
  unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - o The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
  - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

# **Action Tables**

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word "input" has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

# **Total Planned Expenditures Table**

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- LCAP Year: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- 1. Projected LCFF Base Grant: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- 2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants: Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- 3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year: This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- LCFF Carryover Percentage: Specify the LCFF Carryover Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year: This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover Percentage. This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- Goal #: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- Action #: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- Action Title: Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s)**: Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?: Type "Yes" if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
  - Scope: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

- Unduplicated Student Group(s): Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups.
   Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
- Location: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
  - Note: For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure
    of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to
    meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- Other State Funds: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
  - Note: Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- Local Funds: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- Federal Funds: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- Planned Percentage of Improved Services: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as 2024-25 Local Control and Accountability Plan for Kings Canyon Online

  Page 78 of 82

a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

# **Contributing Actions Table**

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

# **Annual Update Table**

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

• Estimated Actual Expenditures: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

# **Contributing Actions Annual Update Table**

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

# **LCFF Carryover Table**

- 9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year: This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

# **Calculations in the Action Tables**

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

## **Contributing Actions Table**

- 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services
  - o This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)

• This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

### **Contributing Actions Annual Update Table**

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display "Not Required."

#### • 6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants

 This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

#### • 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)

This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

#### • 7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions

- This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).

# • 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)

o This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.

# • 8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)

This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.

## • Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)

 This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

# **LCFF Carryover Table**

• 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)

• This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

#### • 11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)

• This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

#### • 12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)

 If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

### • 13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)

This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education November 2023